

R E M A R K S

By this Amendment the specification has been amended on page 13 to correct certain reference numerals and to refer to the schematic means added to Fig. 5 (see concurrently filed Submission of Replacement Drawing Sheet), and claims 28, 29, 31, 33 and 34 have been revised.

In the outstanding Office Action the examiner has objected to the drawings because (1) they include reference numeral 53 which is not mentioned in the specification, and (2) they fail to depict various means defined in the claims.

With respect to (1), in the Amendment filed on March 5, 2007, the applicants added reference numeral 53 to the paragraph on page 11, lines 7-13.

With respect to (2), the applicants have concurrently filed a Submission of Replacement Drawing Sheet wherein they have amended Fig. 5 with schematic means supporting claims 28 and 29. With respect to claim 34, the means are depicted in Fig. 3b (see specification at page 10, lines 19-28).


The examiner has rejected claims 28, 29, 31, 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to properly define the invention.

By the present Amendment these claims have been amended to overcome the examiner's objections.

Favorable reevaluation of this application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Richard H. Tushin
Registration No. 27,297
Franklin Square, Third Floor West
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3353
(202) 906-8680